









m

#### Background

#### Difficulties with some current approaches

Nature of actuarial data: Usually sampling error is not the prime concern, data are typically not small random samples with iid-error structure. They are often close to population data but show distinct substructures and heterogeneity.

Cox (Regression models and life tables, JRSS B, 1972, S. 187): In other words, the applications are more likely to be in industrial reliability studies and in medical statistics than in actuarial science.

Huber (Data analysis: what can be learned from the past 50 years, John Wiley & Sons, 2011, p. 12-13): *What really forces the issue is that larger data* sets almost invariably are composite: they are less homogeneous and have more complex internal structure...

> Swiss Re iIII

#### Background

Indeed, it is remarkably difficult to find homogeneous samples matching the ubiquitous "i.i.d. random variables" of theoretical statistics...

Already in 1940, Deming had admonished the statistical profession that as a whole it was paying much too little attention to the need for dealing with heterogeneous data and with data that arise from conditions not in statistical control (randomness)...

The problems cause by heterogeneous and highly structured data are difficult: I think they have been eschewed precisely because they go beyond tactics and require strategic thinking. Moreover, these problems cannot be harnessed through mathematical formalism, not even the theoretical ones among them.

Swiss Re iII

#### Background

Nonlinearity: Nonadditive effects are not uncommon.

Examples:

- regular physical exercise and absenteeism in young and old age - profession in disability insurance in different age groups

Modelling by interaction effects is only a partial remedy.

• Complexity: Many techniques are hard to understand.

Effects:

- makes it difficult to assess results
- inhibits incorporation of contextual knowledge
- precludes plausibility checks by practitioners

| ackgro    | und                 |                       |                               |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| ample: av | verage damage t     | for four large subgro | ups of equal size             |
|           | Smoker              | Nonsmoker             |                               |
| Male      | 1000<br>950<br>1000 | 700<br>750<br>700     | original data<br>linear model |
| Female    | 500<br>550<br>450   | 400<br>350<br>450     | nonlinear tree                |



| Background         Linear model:         DAMAGE = $\mu + \theta_1 SEX + \theta_2 SMOKING$ $\mu$ = basic level (for female nonsmokers) $\approx 350$ $\theta_1$ = additive effect for "SEX = male" $\approx 400$ $\theta_2$ = additive effect for "SMOKING = yes" $\approx 200$ (The numbers are least squares estimates.) |  |          | Swiss Re |
|---|--|----------|----------|
| Linear model:<br>DAMAGE = $\mu + \theta_1 SEX + \theta_2 SMOKING$<br>$\mu$ = basic level (for female nonsmokers) $\approx 350$<br>$\theta_1$ = additive effect for "SEX = male" $\approx 400$<br>$\theta_2$ = additive effect for "SMOKING = yes" $\approx 200$<br>(The numbers are least squares estimates.)             | Background   |          |          |
| DAMAGE = $\mu + \theta_1 SEX + \theta_2 SMOKING$<br>$\mu$ = basic level (for female nonsmokers) $\approx 350$<br>$\theta_1$ = additive effect for "SEX = male" $\approx 400$<br>$\theta_2$ = additive effect for "SMOKING = yes" $\approx 200$<br>(The numbers are least squares estimates.)                              | Linear model:  |          |          |
| $\mu$ = basic level (for female nonsmokers) $\approx 350$ $\theta_1$ = additive effect for "SEX = male" $\approx 400$ $\theta_2$ = additive effect for "SMOKING = yes" $\approx 200$ (The numbers are least squares estimates.)   | $DAMAGE = \mu + \theta_1 SEX + \theta_2 SMOKING$                 |          |          |
| $\theta_1$ = additive effect for "SEX = male" $\approx 400$<br>$\theta_2$ = additive effect for "SMOKING = yes" $\approx 200$<br>(The numbers are least squares estimates.)   | μ = basic level (for female nonsmokers)                          | ≈ 350    |          |
| $\theta_2$ = additive effect for "SMOKING = yes" $\approx 200$<br>(The numbers are least squares estimates.)  | $\theta_1$ = additive effect for "SEX = male"                    | ≈ 400    |          |
| (The numbers are least squares estimates.)  | $\theta_2$ = additive effect for "SMOKING = yes"                 | ≈ 200    |          |
|   | (The numbers are least squares estimates.)                       |          |          |
|   |  |          |          |
|   |  |          |          |
|   |  | ******** |          |
|   | Tree-based Methods   Prof. Dr. Walter Olbricht   Dr. Ralf Krüger |          | 8        |





## Swiss Re III Background

AIIII

- An approach with the following properties:
- close to the data, rather data-analytic than inferential
- nonlinear
- transparent and easily interpretable
- corresponding to the way in which humans think about substructures i. e. by refinements

Suggestion: tree-based methods

| Free- | based meth                     | ods                          |                          |                              |
|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| xamp  | le: Prediction of              | the perfomance               | e of student             | S                            |
|       | Marks in statistics final (X1) | Average school<br>score (X2) | Success<br>(S of F) (Y1) | Value<br>(0.0, 0.5,1.0) (Y2) |
|       | 99                             | 3.2                          | S                        | 1.0                          |
|       | 85                             | 1.6                          | S                        | 1.0                          |
| - 1   | 84                             | 2.4                          | S                        | 1.0                          |
|       | 81                             | 1.9                          | S                        | 1.0                          |
|       | 79                             | 3.5                          | F                        | 0.0                          |
|       | 78                             | 2.5                          | F                        | 0.0                          |
|       | 66                             | 1.4                          | S                        | 0.5                          |
|       | 89                             | 1.2                          | S                        | 1.0                          |
|       | 44                             | 2.4                          | F                        | 0.0                          |
|       | 25                             | 2.5                          | F                        | 0.0                          |
|       | 40                             | 2.0                          | S                        | 0.5                          |
|       | 90                             | 3.0                          | S                        | 1.0                          |
|       | 35                             | 3.5                          | F                        | 0.0                          |













īīī

#### Tree-based methods

#### The regression problem: approach

- We use the residual sum of squares (RSS) as measure of deviance (impurity index), i. e. the sum of the squared distances between observations and predicted values.
- The optimal predicted value for each rectangle is the mean taken over the elements in that rectangle.

sed Methods | Prof. Dr. Walter Olbricht | Dr. Ralf Krüger









| Tree-based<br>The regressio | l methoo<br>n problem: | ds<br>partitioni    | ng   |      | S    | ivviss Re |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------|------|------|-----------|
| No                          | . Split                | MV1                 | MV2  | RSS1 | RSS2 | RSS       |
|                             | 0 kein                 |                     | 0.54 |      | 2.73 | 2.73      |
|                             | 1 30.0                 | 0.00                | 0.58 | 0.00 | 2.42 | 2.42      |
|                             | 2 37.5                 | 0.00                | 0.64 | 0.00 | 2.05 | 2.05      |
|                             | 3 42.0                 | 0.17                | 0.65 | 0.17 | 2.03 | 2.19      |
|                             | 4 55.0                 | 0.13                | 0.72 | 0.19 | 1.56 | 1.74      |
|                             | 5 72.0                 | 0.20                | 0.75 | 0.30 | 1.50 | 1.80      |
|                             | 6 78.5                 | 0.17                | 0.86 | 0.33 | 0.86 | 1.19      |
|                             | 7 80.0                 | 0.14                | 1.00 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.36      |
|                             | 8 82.5                 | 0.25                | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00      |
|                             | 9 84.5                 | 0.33                | 1.00 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 1.50      |
| 1                           | 0 87.0                 | 0.40                | 1.00 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 1.90      |
| 1                           | 1 89.5                 | 0.45                | 1.00 | 2.23 | 0.00 | 2.23      |
| 1                           | 2 94.5                 | 0.50                | 1.00 | 2.50 | 0.00 | 2.50      |
| e-based Methods   Pr        | of. Dr. Walter Olbri   | cht   Dr. Ralf Krüs | ger  |      | 18   |           |















| ree-k<br>he reg | based me              | ethods<br>blem: par | titioning       |      |      | s<br>II | wiss Re<br>I |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------|------|---------|--------------|
|                 | No.                   | Split               | MV1             | MV2  | RSS1 | RSS2    | RSS          |
|                 | 0                     | kein                |                 | 0.54 |      | 2.73    | 2.73         |
|                 | 1                     | 1.30                | 1.00            | 0.50 | 0.00 | 2.50    | 2.50         |
|                 | 2                     | 1.50                | 0.75            | 0.50 | 0.13 | 2.50    | 2.63         |
|                 | 3                     | 1.75                | 0.83            | 0.45 | 0.17 | 2.23    | 2.39         |
|                 | 4                     | 1.95                | 0.88            | 0.39 | 0.19 | 1.89    | 2.08         |
|                 | 5                     | 2.20                | 0.80            | 0.38 | 0.30 | 1.88    | 2.18         |
|                 | 6 and 7               | 2.45                | 0.71            | 0.33 | 0.93 | 1.33    | 2.26         |
|                 | 8 and 9               | 2.75                | 0.56            | 0.50 | 1.72 | 1.00    | 2.72         |
|                 | 10                    | 3.10                | 0.60            | 0.33 | 1.90 | 0.67    | 2.57         |
|                 | 11                    | 3.35                | 0.64            | 0.00 | 2.05 | 0.00    | 2.05         |
| -based M        | lethods   Prof. Dr. W | alter Olbricht      | Dr. Ralf Krüger |      |      | 22      |              |

|                           |  |   |  |  |  | ĩ   | Π  |       |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|-------|
| ree-l                     | based m  | ethods  | S  |  |  |   |  |       |
| he reg                    | ression pr   | oblem: p  | artitionir   | g  |  |   |  |       |
| ow th                     | e same ap  | proach is   | s applied  | to the le  | eft subse  | t with sev                                  | ven eler                                   | nents |
| ow th<br>or "Ma<br>he res | e same applies arks in fina  | proach is<br>I" RSS is<br>verage so   | s applied<br>always a<br>core" are   | to the le<br>it least C<br>:                               | eft subse<br>).3.  | t with sev                                  | ven eler                                   | nents |
| ow th<br>or "Ma<br>he res | e same app<br>arks in fina<br>sults for "Av  | proach is<br>I" RSS is<br>verage so<br><b>Split</b>                         | s applied<br>always a<br>core" are   | to the le<br>t least C<br>:<br>MV2                         | eft subse<br>).3.<br><b>RSS1</b>                         | t with sev                                  | ven eler<br>RSS                            | nents |
| ow th<br>or "Ma<br>ne res | e same application spin at a same application of the s | proach is<br>I" RSS is<br>verage so<br>Split<br>kein                        | s applied<br>always a<br>core" are   | to the le<br>it least C<br>:<br>MV2<br>0.14                | eft subse<br>).3.<br>RSS1                                | t with sev<br>RSS2<br>0.36                  | ven eler<br>RSS<br>0.36                    | nents |
| ow th<br>or "Ma<br>he res | e same app<br>arks in fina<br>sults for "Av<br>No.<br>0<br>1   | proach is<br>I" RSS is<br>verage so<br><b>Split</b><br>kein<br>1.70         | s applied<br>always a<br>core" are<br><u>MV1</u><br>0.50   | to the le<br>it least 0<br>:<br><b>MV2</b><br>0.14<br>0.08 | eft subse<br>).3.<br>RSS1<br>0.00                        | <b>RSS2</b><br>0.36<br>0.21                 | ven eler<br>RSS<br>0.36<br>0.21            | nents |
| ow th<br>or "Ma<br>he res | e same ap<br>arks in fina<br>sults for "Av<br>No.<br>0<br>1<br>2   | proach is<br>I" RSS is<br>verage so<br><b>Split</b><br>kein<br>1.70<br>2.20 | applied<br>always a<br>core " are<br><u>MV1</u><br>0.50<br>0.50  | to the least C<br>:  | eft subse<br>0.3.<br><b>RSS1</b><br>0.00<br>0.00         | RSS2<br>0.36<br>0.21<br>0.00                | <b>RSS</b><br>0.36<br>0.21<br>0.00         | nents |
| ow th<br>or "Ma<br>he res | No.<br>No.<br>1<br>2<br>3  | I'' RSS is<br>verage so<br><b>Split</b><br>kein<br>1.70<br>2.20<br>2.45     | Million of the second s | to the least C<br>:  | eft subse<br>0.3.<br><b>RSS1</b><br>0.00<br>0.00<br>0.17 | <b>RSS2</b><br>0.36<br>0.21<br>0.00<br>0.00 | <b>RSS</b><br>0.36<br>0.21<br>0.00<br>0.17 | nents |

#### Tree-based methods

#### Technical aspects

- Usually only binary splits are considered (no severe restriction).
- For an ordered (ordinal or continuous) predictor variable with *m* distinct values, the number of splits is *m*-1. A nominal predictor variable with *m* categories requires 2<sup>*m*-1</sup> 1 non-trivial splits. (In case of a 0-1-response variable, this can be reduced to *m* 1, if the predictor categories are ordered according to the proportion of 1's.)
- Due to the stepwise subdivision, tree-based methods are often referred to as *recursive partitioning*. It is, in general, not possible to find the *globally* optimal rectangular subdivision.

e-based Methods | Prof. Dr. Walter Ölbricht | Dr. Ralf Krüger 2





Tree-based methods
Technical aspects
There is usually not one final tree, but a whole range of potential candidates. However, a pronounced *,internal structure*' (if it exists) will usually show up.
Recommendation: Not just one tree, but *,working with trees*'.
Great danger of the approach: Spurious structure may be misinterpreted as a real feature.
Remedy: (Culture of) Validation
Recommendation: Independent data set (better than cross-validation)

Swiss Re

Methods | Prof. Dr. Walter Olbricht | Dr. Ralf Krüger





### Application to life insurance

#### "Oracle test"

- A data set was taken from the Swiss Re data monitoring pool by combining some (partial) portfolios for some years. Variables are: Response variable (alive=0, dead=1), SEX (male=1, female=2), AGE
- The first years are used as learning set; the others as independent test set.
- A tree was generated on the basis of the learning set. On the same basis a/e-factors for adapting the DAV 2008 T to the data set were derived.
- The tree prediction and the 'classical' prediction (based on DAV 2008 T) for the independent data set (future) are compared to each other and to the actual development.

















|      |                               | A                           |  |                               |                             |                    |   |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---|
| эрп  | catior                        | to III                      | e insura                                   | nce                           |                             |                    |   |
|      |                               |                             |  |                               |                             |                    |   |
| racl | e test"                       |                             |  |                               |                             |                    |   |
| Node | Learning :                    | set                         |  | Independe                     | at test set                 |                    |   |
|      | no. of<br>elements<br>in node | no, of<br>deaths<br>in node | estimated<br>mortality rate<br>(per mille) | no. of<br>elements<br>in node | no. of<br>deaths<br>in node | tree<br>prediction | classical<br>prediction<br>(DAV 2008 T) |
| 1    | 286 298                       | 137                         | 0.479                                      | 254 995                       | 143                         | 122                | 127                                     |
| 2    | 77812                         | 96                          | 1.234                                      | 75 882                        | 60                          | 94                 | 79                                      |
| 3    | 78 792                        | 118                         | 1.498                                      | 79 202                        | 146                         | 119                | 116                                     |
| 4    | 163 197                       | 406                         | 2.488                                      | 155 912                       | 361                         | 388                | 389                                     |
| 5    | 32 293                        | 92                          | 2.849                                      | 33 163                        | 119                         | 94                 | 96                                      |
| 6    | 7315                          | 37                          | 5.058                                      | 7 4 4 0                       | 26                          | 38                 | 36                                      |
| 7    | 36 921                        | 176                         | 4.767                                      | 41 759                        | 163                         | 199                | 188                                     |
| 8    | 24515                         | 148                         | 6.037                                      | 20 708                        | 118                         | 125                | 118                                     |
| 9    | 9835                          | 68                          | 6.914                                      | 8 354                         | 59                          | 58                 | 55                                      |
| 10   | 36046                         | 305                         | 8.461                                      | 33 525                        | 219                         | 284                | 299                                     |
|      | 762 004                       | 1 583                       |  | 710 940                       | 1.414                       | 1 521              | 1.503                                   |











| "Oracle test | 7                         |                            |                   |                           |                            |                  |
|--------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
|              | absolute<br>nodes<br>1-15 | absolute<br>nodes<br>16-24 | absolute<br>total | relative<br>nodes<br>1-15 | relative<br>nodes<br>16-24 | relativ<br>total |
| observed     | 887                       | 540                        | 1'427             |                           |                            |                  |
| classical    | 748                       | 597                        | 1'345             | 84%                       | 111%                       | 94%              |
| tree         | 834                       | 483                        | 1'317             | 94%                       | 89%                        | 92%              |

| Specific Aspects         Tree-based and classical prediction relative to the actually observed claim (in %):         Company       A       B       C       D       E       F       Total         Tree-based       97       94       78       92       116       91       92         Classical       57       80       29       105       103       103       94 |                         |                    |          |           |           |           |          | 5Wis        | she        |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Tree-based and classical prediction relative to the actually observed claim (in %):         Company       A       B       C       D       E       F       Total         Tree-based       97       94       78       92       116       91       92         Classical       57       80       29       105       103       103       94                          | Specific A              | Aspec <sup>.</sup> | ts       |           |           |           |          |             |            |
| Company       A       B       C       D       E       F       Total         Tree-based       97       94       78       92       116       91       92         Classical       57       80       29       105       103       103       94  | Tree-based a<br>(in %): | nd clas            | sical pr | edictio   | on relat  | ive to tl | he actua | ally observ | ed claims  |
| Tree-based         97         94         78         92         116         91         92           Classical         57         80         29         105         103         103         94           For a more detailed model it is also possible to derive company species  | Company                 | А                  | в        | с         | D         | E         | F        | Total       |            |
| Classical 57 80 29 105 103 103 <b>94</b><br>For a more detailed model it is also possible to derive company speci   | Tree-based              | 97                 | 94       | 78        | 92        | 116       | 91       | 92          |            |
| For a more detailed model it is also possible to derive company speci   | Classical               | 57                 | 80       | 29        | 105       | 103       | 103      | 94          |            |
| trees.  | For a mo                | ore deta           | iled mo  | odel it i | is also į | possibl   | e to der | ive compa   | ny specifi |

|  |                  | Svi      | riss Re       |  |
|--|------------------|----------|---------------|--|
| Specific Aspects   |                  |          |               |  |
| Non-linearity:   |                  |          |               |  |
| Incidence rates  | Age < 49         | Age ≧ 49 |               |  |
| Office workers / white collar                              | 0.9              | 3.7      | (min. # cases |  |
| Retail occupations   | 1.4              | 7.4      | 121)          |  |
| Craft workers  | 3.0              | 9.9      | (min. # cases |  |
| Plant & machinery operators                                | 1.1              | 8.4      | 41)           |  |
| (figures in per mille)                                     |                  |          |               |  |
| Non-linear structures occur<br>No universal shape of incid | r<br>lence rates |          |               |  |
| ****   |                  |          |               |  |
| Tree-based Methods   Prof. Dr. Walter Olbricht   Dr. Ral   | f Krüger         | 39       |               |  |







|   |              |             | Swiss         | Re              |  |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| Specific Aspects  |              |             |               |                 |  |
| Impact of gender:   |              |             |               |                 |  |
| Occurrentianal class  | Age          | < 49        | Age ≧         | Age <b>≧</b> 49 |  |
| Occupational class  | м            | F           | м             | F               |  |
| Office workers / white collar   | 0.8          | 1.0         | 3.5           | 4.2             |  |
| Retail occupations  | 1.4          | 1.5         | 7.8           | 6.2             |  |
| Teaching, social & cultural<br>professionals  | 0.8          | 1.1         | 5.1           | 4.3             |  |
| Other healthcare workers  | 2.2          | 1.5         | 7.1           | 6.2             |  |
| Catering & hospitality workers  | 2.7          | 2.4         | 7.6           | 7.3             |  |
| (min. # cases: 17, figures in per mille)<br>Influence of the variable<br>modellings | "Sex" appear | s much less | than in class | sical           |  |
| <u> </u>  |              |             |               |                 |  |
| Tree leaved Methode I Brot Dr. Welter Olivialet I Dr.                               | Pall Values  |             | 41            |                 |  |

| Swiss | Re |
|-------|----|
| Π     |    |

#### Specific Aspects

#### Impact of gender:

| A fair and meaningful comparison needs to control for other variables by |
|--|
| weighing the rates for males and females in each node by the number of   |
| policies in each node ("standardisation").                               |

| Data aat   | Non-stan     | dardised     | standardised |       |  |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--|
| Data set   | М            | F            | м            | F     |  |
| Learning set   | 2.347        | 1.530        | 2.063        | 2.026 |  |
| Independent test set                                 | 2.478        | 1.824        | 2.223        | 2.336 |  |
| Total  | 2.408        | 1.668        | 2.138        | 2.174 |  |
| (figures in per mille)                               |              |              |              |       |  |
| No difference in incidenc                            | e rates betw | een males ar | nd females   |       |  |
|  |              |              |              |       |  |
| Tree-based Methods   Prof. Dr. Walter Olbricht   Dr. | Ralf Krüger  |              | 42           |       |  |

| Spec  | ific Aspe    | cts      |            |            |            | Π        |        |
|-------|--------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------|--------|
| Impac | t of gender: |          |            |            |            |          |        |
|       |              | Learnir  | ng set     | Independen | t test set | Tot      | al     |
| Node  | # elements   | male (%) | female (%) | male (%)   | female (%) | male (%) | female |
| 1     | 136,382      | 12       | 34         | 11         | 32         | 12       |        |
| 2     | 53,190       | 8        | 6          | 8          | 6          | 8        |        |
| 3     | 65,975       | 8        | 11         | 8          | 10         | 8        |        |
| 4     | 49,945       | 9        | 3          | 9          | 3          | 9        |        |
| 5     | 90,812       | 13       | 13         | 14         | 14         | 14       |        |
| 6     | 32,296       | 4        | 6          | 4          | 7          | 4        |        |
| 7     | 36,581       | 5        | 5          | 5          | 5          | 5        |        |
| 8     | 22,020       | 4        | 2          | 4          | 2          | 4        |        |
| 9     | 7,049        | 1        | 1          | 1          | 1          | 1        |        |
| 10    | 44,880       | 7        | 5          | 7          | 4          | 7        |        |
| 11    | 16,327       | 3        | 1          | 3          | 1          | 3        |        |
| 12    | 28,784       | 5        | 3          | 5          | 3          | 5        |        |
| 13    | 11,923       | 2        | 1          | 2          | 1          | 2        |        |
| 14    | 12,435       | 2        | 1          | 2          | 1          | 2        |        |
| 15    | 5,945        | 1        | 0          | 1          | 0          | 1        |        |
| Total | 614,544      |          |            |            |            |          |        |

|       |            | Learning s   | et      | Independen | t test set | Tot      | al       |
|-------|------------|--------------|---------|------------|------------|----------|----------|
| Node  | # elements | male (%) fem | ale (%) | male (%)   | female (%) | male (%) | female ( |
| 16    | 31,097     | 4            | 4       | 4          | 4          | 4        |          |
| 17    | 5,846      | 1            | 0       | 1          | 0          | 1        |          |
| 18    | 15,576     | 3            | 1       | 2          | 1          | 2        |          |
| 19    | 10,011     | 2            | 1       | 2          | 1          | 2        |          |
| 20    | 6,459      | 1            | 0       | 1          | 0          | 1        |          |
| 21    | 9,203      | 2            | 1       | 1          | 1          | 1        |          |
| 22    | 6,877      | 1            | 0       | 1          | 0          | 1        |          |
| 23    | 8,637      | 2            | 0       | 2          | 0          | 2        |          |
| 24    | 4,218      | 1            | 0       | 1          | 0          | 1        |          |
| Total | 97,924     |              |         |            |            |          |          |



#### Conclusions

- Tree-based methods seem ideally suited for the actuarial field.
- They are feasible and offer an interesting alternative or a complement to
- traditional approaches.

  In particular, they can help to uncover the ,internal structure' of the data.
- It is recommended not to use just one tree, but to ,work with trees'.
- Particular emphasis *must* be put on proper validation.
- They appear promising for less transparent situations.

-based Methods | Prof. Dr. Walter Olbricht | Dr. Ralf Krüger 45

|   | Swiss Re                        |
|---|---------------------------------|
| References  |                                 |
| Olbricht W (2012) Tree-based methods: a us<br>Eur Actuar J 2:129-147                              | eful tool for life insurance.   |
| Bauer M, Krüger R, Olbricht W (2013) Tree-t<br>disability probabilities<br>Eur Actuar J 3:491-513 | based methos: an application to |
| Tree based Methods   Prof. Dr. Water Object   Dr. Patt Kouger                                     | 46                              |



