Sensitivity of life insurance reserves via Markov semigroups

Matthias Fahrenwaldt

Institut für Mathematische Stochastik Leibniz Universität Hannover Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany fahrenw@stochastik.uni-hannover.de and EBZ Business School Springorumallee 20, 44795 Bochum, Germany

Washington DC, 30 March – 4 April 2014

Motivation

Contents

¹ Motivation

- 2 The Thiele PDE
- ³ Thiele as an abstract evolution equation

4 Main results

- ⁵ Sketch of the proofs
- **6** Next steps
- 7 Bibliography

Motivation

Why sensitivities?

- Risk management means managing the available capital (CFO and CRO responsibility)
- Regulatory capital requirements (e.g., standard model in Solvency II) are based on parameter scenarios
- Thus, sensitivities of insurance reserves with respect to the valuation basis are of particular interest
- Understanding the sensitivities is key to premium calculation, reserving and ultimately the survival of the insurer

Motivation

Thiele's differential equation I

- The reserve is usually defined as the discounted expected benefit payments less than the discounted expected premium payments (equivalence principle)
- In 1875 Thiele devised a differential equation (and difference equation) for the evolution of the reserve

$$
\frac{d}{dt}V_t = \pi_t - b_t \mu_{x+t} + (r + \mu_{x+t})V_t.
$$

Unification of the time discrete and continuous case in a stochastic integral equation [MS97]

3 / 43

Thiele's differential equation II

- Combination with developments in financial mathematics (Black-Scholes, term-structure models, ...) leads to generalized Thiele equations, cf. [Nor91] or [Ste06]
- These generalizations model modern life insurance products whose benefits explicitly depend on capital markets
- In product design and capital requirements current attention shifts towards worst-case analyses with respect to the valuation basis
- Examples include [Chr11b], [Chr11a], [Chr10] and [CS11]

Motivation

Our model life insurance contract

- We consider a multi-state life insurance policy with distribution of a surplus as in [Ste07]
- The surplus can be invested in a risk-free asset and a risky asset, the latter being modelled by an Itô process
- The reserve satisfies a system of partial differential equations (PDEs)
- Objective: solve the PDEs by semigroup techniques and then assess sensitivities

5 / 43

The aim is to investigate the Thiele PDE using linear operators

- Basic idea is to express economic forces by linear operators
- Motivation: quantum mechanics and operator algebras
- Key results
	- \triangleright Uniform continuity of the reserve with respect to financial, mortality and payment assumptions
	- ! Pointwise bounds on the gradient of the reserve as a function of the surplus
	- \blacktriangleright Factorization of the reserve into risk types (financial, insurance, payment)
- Basis for treatment of polynomial processes (including Lévy and affine processes)

Selected other approaches to sensitivities

Motivation

Key ideas from the literature

- Valuation basis depends on a single parameter θ . Differentiate Thiele's equation with respect to θ and solve ensuing PDE. Cf. [KN03]
- Valuation basis lives in a Hilbert space. Consider the reserve as a functional of the valuation basis and apply Fréchet derivative with respect to valuation basis. Cf. [Chr08]

7 / 43

Start with the reserve as a conditional expectation

Consider a life insurance policy with benefit payments that depend on a surplus. The surplus can be invested in a risk-free and a risky asset. All processes are (jointly) Markov:

- Z_t : process with values in $\{1,\ldots,n\}$, state of the insured person
- \bullet X_t : process for the value of the surplus (SDE)
- \bullet B_t : process for benefit payments
- D_t : process for dividend payments from the surplus

Define the *market reserve* V^j of the contract in state j as

$$
V^{j}(t,x)=\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\int_{t}^{T}e^{(s-t)r}d(B+D)(s)\right|Z(t)=j,X(t)=x\right],
$$

with the policy terminating at time *T*

The reserve satisfies a PDE system I

The reserve vector $\mathbf{V}=(V^{1},\ldots,V^{n})^{\top}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n0 & = & \partial_t V^j(t,x) + \mathcal{D}^j(t) V^j(t,x) + \beta^j(t,x) - r V^j(t,x), \\
0 & = & V^j(\mathcal{T},x),\n\end{array}
$$

on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$ where

$$
\mathcal{D}^{j}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\pi(t,x)^{2}\sigma^{2}x^{2}\partial_{x}^{2} + (rx + c^{j}(t) - \delta^{j}(t,x))\partial_{x} + \sum_{k\neq j}\mu^{jk}(t)\left(V^{k}(t,x + c^{jk}(t) - \delta^{jk}(t,x)) - V^{j}(t,x)\right),
$$

$$
\beta^{j}(t,x) = b^{j}(t) + \delta^{j}(t,x) + \sum_{k\neq j}\mu^{jk}(t)\left(b^{jk}(t) + \delta^{jk}(t,x)\right).
$$

For the derivation of these equations see [Ste06, Ste07]

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 重 ▶ K 重 ▶ │ 重 │ ◆ 9 Q Q 11 / 43

The Thiele PDE

The reserve satisfies a PDE system II

Meaning of the variables and coefficients

 $t = \text{time}$ $x =$ value of the surplus $T =$ maturity of the contract $V^{j}(t, x)$ = reserve in state *j* $r =$ constant risk-free interest rate $\pi(t, x)$ = surplus share invested in the risky asset σ = diffusion coefficient for the risky asset $b^{jk}(t), b^{j}(t)$ = benefit payments $\mu^{jk}(t)$ $\hspace{0.1cm}$ = transition intensities $\delta^{j}(t,x)$, $\delta^{jk}(t,x)$ $\hspace{0.1cm}$ $=$ dividends from the surplus $c^{j}(t)$, $c^{jk}(t)$ = contributions to the surplus

The reserve satisfies a PDE system III

Hypothesis

- (i) *Coe*ffi*cients of the di*ff*erential operators*
	- (a) *there is a* $\pi_0 > 0$ *with* $\pi(x) \ge \pi_0$ *for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ *,*
	- (b) π, c^j, δ^j are in $C_{loc}^{\alpha/2,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ for a $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ *,*
	- (c) the function π *is bounded and* $c^j \geq 0$ *.*
- (ii) *Regularity of payments, dividends and intensities*
	- (a) b^j and μ^{jk} belong to $C([0, T]),$
	- (b) δ^{jk} *belongs to* $C^{0,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ *for all j, k.*

(iii) *Boundedness of dividend payments*

- (a) *there is a constant* $k > 0$ *with* $0 \leq \delta^{j}(t, x) \leq kx$,
- (b) the term $\delta^{j}(t, x) \frac{-\log x}{x(1+\log^{2} x)}$ is bounded for $x \to 0$,
- (c) for all x, t we have $x + c^{jk}(t) \delta^{jk}(t, x) \ge 0$.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 콜 ▶ K 콜 ▶ │ 콜 │ ◆ 9 Q Q 13 / 43

Thiele as an abstract evolution equation

Contents

¹ Motivation

The Thiele PDE

- ³ Thiele as an abstract evolution equation
- ⁴ Main results
- ⁵ Sketch of the proofs
- ⁶ Next steps

⁷ Bibliography

Consider Thiele as an abstract evolution equation I

Step 1: define a time-dependent linear operator $T = T(t)$ acting on $C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$
\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sum_{k \neq 1} \mu^{1k} 1 & \mu^{12} T^{12} & \mu^{13} T^{13} & \cdots & \mu^{1n} T^{1n} \\ \mu^{21} T^{21} & -\sum_{k \neq 2} \mu^{2k} 1 & \mu^{23} T^{23} & \cdots & \mu^{2n} T^{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu^{n1} T^{n2} & \mu^{n2} T^{n2} & \mu^{n3} T^{n3} & \cdots & -\sum_{k \neq n} \mu^{nk} 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Here, $\mu^{jk} = \mu^{jk}(t)$ and the $T^{jk} = T^{jk}(t)$ are linear operators:

$$
\left(T^{ik}f\right)(t,x)=f\left(t,x+c^{jk}(t)-\delta^{jk}(t,x)\right)
$$

Morally: insurance risk expressed by T

```
K ロ K K <del>D</del> K K B K K B K H B K Y Q Q Q
                                        15 / 43
```
Thiele as an abstract evolution equation

Consider Thiele as an abstract evolution equation II

Step 2: spacetime transformation $\tau = T - t$ and $y = \log x$. Define

$$
\mathcal{A}^j = \frac{1}{2}\pi^2\sigma^2\partial_y^2 + \left(r + \left(c^j - \delta^j\right)e^{-y} - \frac{1}{2}\pi\sigma^2\right)\partial_y.
$$

With the diagonal operator $\mathcal{A}=$ $\sqrt{ }$ $\overline{ }$ \mathcal{A}^1 ... *An* \setminus the reserve vector

satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\partial_{\tau} \mathbf{V} & = & \mathcal{A}(\tau) \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{TV} - r\mathbf{V} + e^{r\tau} \beta \\
\mathbf{V}(0) & = & 0,\n\end{array} \bigg\} \tag{1}
$$

an abstract initial value problem on a suitable Banach space

Formulation as an integral equation with semigroups

Let G be the evolution family generated by *A* i.e., a family of linear operators $G(\tau, s)$ on a suitable space such that

$$
G(\tau,s)G(s,\rho)=G(\tau,\rho)
$$

for $\rho \leq s \leq \tau$ and $\mathbf{G}(\tau,\tau) = id$

- The existence of G is non-trivial as A has exponentially growing first-order coefficients
- V is a *mild solution* of (1) if the Duhamel formula is satisfied

$$
\mathbf{V}(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} \mathbf{G}(\tau, s) \left[\mathbf{T}(s) \mathbf{V}(s) + e^{-r(\tau - s)} \beta(s) \right] ds \qquad (2)
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 콜 ▶ K 콜 ▶ │ 콜 │ ◆ 9 Q Q 17 / 43

Main results

Contents

- ¹ Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE
- Thiele as an abstract evolution equation

4 Main results

⁵ Sketch of the proofs

⁷ Bibliography

The PDE has a unique mild solution I

Theorem

Assume the coefficients of A are in $C^{\alpha/2,1+\alpha}$ *for a* $\alpha \in (0,1)$ *. Then there is a unique mild solution* V *in the space* $C^{0,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$.

The Duhamel decomposition (2) of V shows the factorization of the integrand into operators:

- (i) market risks from the investment in the risky asset as represented by G,
- (ii) the effect of net payments represented by the multiplication operator β , and
- (iii) insurance risk represented by T

The PDE has a unique mild solution II

Main results

Express the solution explicitly in terms of a Neumann series (Dyson series in physics, Peano series in matrix analysis). Let $f(\tau) = \int_0^\tau e^{rs} \beta(s) ds$, then

$$
\mathbf{V} = e^{-r\tau} \left(f + \mathbf{GT} \# f + \mathbf{GT} \# \mathbf{GT} \# f + \cdots \right) \tag{3}
$$

under the operation

$$
(\mathsf{GT}\# \xi)(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \mathsf{G}(\tau, s) \mathsf{T}(s) \xi(s) ds.
$$

The series converges in $C^{0,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$. This leads to a conceptual explanation how the reserve depends on payments. The series is also an asymptotic expansion in τ and can be used to approximate V

19 / 43

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 重 ▶ K 重 ▶ │ 重 │ Ю Q Q

Continuous dependence of the reserve on the data Let $Y_1 = C_b(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$, $Y_2 = C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\varphi(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} e^{-r(\tau-s)} ds.$ (i) growth: set $\hat{\tau} = \sup_{\tau} ||\mathbf{T}(\tau)||$. Then $||V(\tau)||_{Y_1} \leq ||\beta||_{Y_2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\hat{T}e^{c\hat{T}\tau}$ \int_0^{τ} 0 $\varphi(\mathsf{s})$ ds + $\varphi(\tau)$ $\overline{ }$

(ii) dependence on payments:

$$
||\mathbf{V}_1(\tau)-\mathbf{V}_2(\tau)||_{Y_1}\leq ||\beta_1-\beta_2||_{Y_2}\left(\hat{\tau}e^{\hat{\tau}_{\tau}}\int_0^{\tau}\varphi(s)ds+\varphi(\tau)\right)
$$

(iii) dependence on insurance risk:

$$
||\mathbf{V}_{1}(\tau)-\mathbf{V}_{2}(\tau)||_{Y_{1}}\leq ||\beta||_{Y_{2}}C(\mathbf{T}_{1},\mathbf{T}_{2};\tau)\sup_{\tau}||\mathbf{T}_{1}(\tau)-\mathbf{T}_{2}(\tau)||,
$$

with

$$
C(\mathsf{T}_1,\mathsf{T}_2;\tau)=\left.\hat{\mathsf{T}}_1e^{\hat{\mathsf{T}}_1\tau}\int_0^\tau\int_0^s\varphi(u)duds+e^{\hat{\mathsf{T}}_2\tau}\int_0^\tau\varphi(s)ds_{\text{max}}\right|_{21/43}
$$

One recovers the conditional expectation almost explicitly

Main results

• Recall the stochastic representation

$$
\mathbf{V}^{Z(t)}(t,X(t)) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\int_t^T e^{-r(s-t)}d(B+D)(s)\middle| Z(t), X(t)\right]
$$

- Now special case where the surplus is unchanged in transitions between states ie., $c^{jk}(t) - \delta^{jk}(t, x) \equiv 0$
- Then (2) becomes

$$
\mathbf{V}(\tau,y) = \int_0^{\tau} e^{-r(\tau-s)} \left[\mathbf{G}(\tau,s) \exp \mathbf{M}(s) \beta(s)\right](y) ds.
$$

Here $\mathsf{M}(s) = \int_0^s \mathsf{T}(s') \, ds'$ by componentwise integration • The product of commuting operators $G(\tau, s)$ exp $M(s)$

corresponds tp the product measure $\mathbb Q$

22 / 43

Pointwise sensitivities in the special case

Define $\beta'(s, y) = e^{rs}\beta(s)$ exp **M**(*s*).

Theorem

Choose $p > 1$ *and let* $W^j(\tau, y)$ *be a solution of the PDE*

$$
\partial_{\tau} W^j = A^j(\tau) W^j - (r - \sigma_p) W^j + \left| T^{1-1/p} \partial_y \beta'^j(\tau, \cdot) \right|^p
$$

W^j(0) = 0,

where σ*^p is a constant depending on A^j . The the gradient of the reserve is bounded pointwise*

$$
|\partial_y V^j(\tau,y)|^p \leq W^j(\tau,y)
$$

for $(\tau, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$

Sketch of the proofs

Contents

- 2 The Thiele PDE
- Thiele as an abstract evolution equation

4 Main results

7 Bibliography

23 / 43

 PQC

重

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 君 ▶ K 君 ▶ ...

Existence via operator algebra I

Proposition

Let $\theta \in [0, 1]$ *. Then* **T** *is a bounded linear operator mapping* $C^{0,\theta}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ *to itself*

Moreover there exists an evolution family G which is smoothing

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 콜 ▶ K 콜 ▶ │ 콜 │ ◆ 9 Q Q 25 / 43

Sketch of the proofs

Existence via operator algebra II

Proposition ([Lor11])

Each operator A^j *generates an evolution system* $G^j(\tau,s)$ *of bounded linear operators such that for every* $0 \leq \alpha \leq \gamma \leq 1$ *there is a constant c with*

$$
||G^j(\tau,s)f||_{C_b^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R})}\leq c(\tau-s)^{-(\gamma-\alpha)/2}||f||_{C_b^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R})}
$$

for $f \in C_b^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ *and every* $s \leq \tau \leq T$

Existence via operator algebra III

Idea for showing existence and uniqueness of solutions:

- (i) a-priori estimates via Gronwall's inequality
- (ii) Explicit construction of a solution through a Neumann series, it converges by the a-priori estimates
- (iii) Uniqueness of the solution again by Gronwall

Sketch of the proofs

Existence via operator algebra IV

Gronwall's inequality

Suppose that for a non-negative absolutely continuous function η on [0*,T*]

$$
\eta'(t) \leq \phi(t)\eta(t) + \psi(t).
$$

Then

$$
\eta(t)\leq e^{\int_0^t \phi(s)ds}\left[\eta(0)+\int_0^t \psi(s)ds\right].
$$

Proof: A calculation shows

$$
\frac{d}{ds} \left(\eta(s) e^{-\int_0^s \phi(r) dr} \right) = e^{-\int_0^s \phi(r) dr} \left(\eta'(s) - \phi(s) \eta(s) \right) \newline \leq e^{\int_0^s \phi(r) dr} \psi(s),
$$

whence the assertion

Existence via operator algebra V

Let $Y_1 = C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Y_2 = C^{0,\alpha}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$. Uniform estimates yield

$$
||\mathbf{V}(\tau)||_{Y_1}\leq c\,\hat{\mathbf{\mathcal{T}}}\int_{0}^{\tau}||\mathbf{V}(s)||_{Y_1}+c||\beta||_{C^{0,\alpha}([0,\mathcal{T}]\times\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{R}^{n}}\varphi(\tau),
$$

with $\hat{\tau} = \sup_s ||T(s)||$, the supremum of the operator norms of τ and $\varphi(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} e^{-r(\tau-s)} ds$. The constant *c* comes from Proposition 5. Gronwall now implies

$$
||\mathbf{V}(\tau)||_{Y_1} \leq c||\beta||_{Y_2} \left(c\,\hat{\mathcal{T}}e^{c\,\hat{\mathcal{T}}\tau}\int_0^{\tau}\varphi(s)ds + \varphi(\tau)\right).
$$
 (4)

Gives a-priori estimates: uniform norm of the reserve is bounded by global constants

$$
4 \Box \rightarrow 4 \Box \rightarrow 4 \Xi \rightarrow 4 \Xi \rightarrow \Xi \rightarrow 9 \%
$$

29/43

Sketch of the proofs

Existence via operator algebra VI

General approach to solving *Volterra equations* of the form

$$
u(\tau)=f(\tau)+\int_0^\tau T(s)u(s)ds,
$$

cf. [Kre99]: set $Au = \int_0^{\tau} T(s)u(s)ds$ and write

 $u = Au + f$ or $(I - A)u = f$.

The idea is then to invert the operator $I - A$ as

$$
(I - A)^{-1} = 1 + A + A^2 + \cdots.
$$

Application of this Neumann series in spectral theory of Banach algebras, PDEs, etc.

Existence via operator algebra VII

Use this to find a solution for small values of *T* in a Neumann series with $f(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} e^{rs} \beta(s) ds$ as

$$
V = e^{-r\tau} (f + GT \# f + GT \# GT \# f + \cdots).
$$

under the operation

$$
(\mathsf{GT}\# \xi)(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \mathsf{G}(\tau, s) \mathsf{T}(s) \xi(s) ds.
$$

Now iterate on the time axis with new initial conditions. This works because the a-priori estimates (4) only depend on global constants (and not on the time *T*)

$$
4 \Box \rightarrow 4 \Box \rightarrow 4 \Xi \rightarrow 4 \Xi \rightarrow 4 \Xi \rightarrow 31/43
$$

Existence via operator algebra VIII

Sketch of the proofs

Uniqueness

Let V_1 and V_2 be two solutions of the PDE. Then consider $U = V_1 - V_2$, which satisfies a linear homogeneous integral equation with initial condition $U(0) = V_1(0) - V_2(0) = 0$:

$$
\mathbf{U}(\tau)=\int_0^\tau \mathbf{G}(\tau,s)\mathbf{T}(s)\mathbf{U}(s)\,ds.
$$

Gronwall then shows that $U(\tau) = 0$ for all τ

Proof of the sensitivities I

This also follows from operator estimates

Uniform estimates

Illustration for the dependence on T : consider the PDEs for V_1 and V_2 for two values of the operator T_1 and T_2 . By linearity

$$
\mathsf{V}_1(\tau) - \mathsf{V}_2(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} \mathsf{G}(\tau, s) \left(\mathsf{T}_1(s) \mathsf{V}_1(s) - \mathsf{T}_2(s) \mathsf{V}_2(s) \right) ds
$$

=
$$
\int_0^{\tau} \mathsf{G}(\tau, s) \mathsf{T}_2(s) \left(\mathsf{V}_1(s) - \mathsf{V}_2(s) \right) ds
$$

+
$$
\int_0^{\tau} \mathsf{G}(\tau, s) \left(\mathsf{T}_1(s) - \mathsf{T}_2(s) \right) \mathsf{V}_1(s) ds.
$$

Then apply Gronwall twice to obtain the result

Sketch of the proofs

メロト メタト メミト メミト 重。 PQQ 33 / 43

Proof of the sensitivities II

For the pointwise estimates the basis is the

Theorem ([KLL10])
\nFor every
$$
p > 1
$$
 we have for all $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$ that
\n
$$
| (\partial_x G^j(\tau, s) f) (x) |^p \le e^{c(\tau - s)} (G^j(\tau, s) | \partial_x f |^p) (x)
$$
\nwith $s \le \tau$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here c is a constant depending on p and A

Proof of the sensitivities III

Application to

$$
\mathbf{V}(\tau, y) = \int_0^{\tau} e^{-r(\tau - s)} \left[\mathbf{G}(\tau, s) \exp \mathbf{M}(s) \beta(s)\right](y) ds
$$

leads to an integral equation whose upper bound (5) can be translated to the PDE

$$
\partial_{\tau} W^j = A^j(\tau) W^j - (r - c) W^j + \left| T^{1-1/p} \partial_y \beta^{ij}(\tau, \cdot) \right|^p
$$

W^j(0) = 0.

This is possible as V is a classical solution i.e., belongs to *C*¹*,*²

Next steps

Contents

- ¹ Motivation
- 2 The Thiele PDE
- ³ Thiele as an abstract evolution equation
- 4 Main results
- 5 Sketch of the proofs

Potential next steps I

Polynomial processes

- Model the risky asset by polynomial processes e.g., a Lévy process
- The operator approach can be applied formally. The operators A^j become pseudo-differential operators which are defined by Fourier analysis (*a* is the symbol of the process)

$$
\mathcal{A}u(x)=\int\int e^{i\xi(x-y)}a(x,y,\xi)u(y)dyd\xi,
$$

precise structure of *a* from Lévy-Khinchin

• Increased technical requirements and solution living in Sobolev spaces or C^{∞}

Potential next steps II

Heat kernel methods

• Short-time asymptotic expansion of the reserve in τ around maturity *T*

Next steps

- Basis is an asymptotic expansion of the Schwartz kernel of $G \sim G_0 + (\tau - s)G_1 + \cdots$, the so-called heat kernel. This is standard in differential geometry (Atiyah-Singer index theorem), quantum gravity, financial maths, ...
- **o** Looks like

$$
\mathbf{V}(\tau) \sim \int_0^{\tau} e^{-(\tau-s)r} \mathbf{G}_0(\tau,s) \beta(s) ds + \cdots
$$

37 / 43

Bibliography I

- [Ama95] H. Amann. *Linear and Quasilinear Parabolic Problems, Vol. I: Abstract Linear Theory*. Birkhäuser, 1995.
	- [Chr08] M.C. Christiansen. A sensitivity analysis concept for life insurance with respect to a valuation basis of infinite dimension. *Insurance Math. Econom.*, 42(2):680–690, 2008.
	- [Chr10] M. Christiansen. Biometric worst-case scenarios for multi-state life insurance policies. *Insurance Math. Econom.*, 47(2):190–197, 2010.
- [Chr11a] M. Christiansen. Making use of netting effects when composing life insurance contracts. *European Actuarial Journal*, pages 1–14, 2011.

Bibliography II

- [Chr11b] M. Christiansen. Safety margins for unsystematic biometric risk in life and health insurance. *Scand. Actuarial J.*, (to appear), 2011.
	- [CS11] M. Christiansen and M. Steffensen. Safe-side scenarios for financial and biometrical risk. *SSRN Preprint 1738552*, 2011.
	- [EN00] K.J. Engel and R. Nagel. *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [KLL10] M. Kunze, L. Lorenzi, and A. Lunardi. Nonautonomous Kolmogorov parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(1):169–198, 2010.

Bibliography

Bibliography III

- [KN03] V. Kalashnikov and R. Norberg. On the sensitivity of premiums and reserves to changes in valuation elements. *Scand. Actuar. J.*, 103(3):238–256, 2003.
- [Kre99] R. Kress. *Linear Integral Equations*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [Lor11] L. Lorenzi. Optimal Hölder regularity for nonautonomous Kolmogorov equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 4(1):169–191, 2011.
- [Lun95] A. Lunardi. *Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problems*. Birkhäuser, 1995.
- [MS97] H. Milbrodt and A. Stracke. Markov models and Thiele's integral equations for the prospective reserve. *Insurance Math. Econom.*, 19(3):187–235, 1997.
- [Nor91] R. Norberg. Reserves in life and pension insurance. *Scand. Actuar. J.*, 1:3–24, 1991.

Bibliography

Bibliography IV

- [Paz83] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Di*ff*erential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [Ste06] M. Steffensen. Surplus-linked life insurance. *Scand. Actuar. J.*, 2006(1):1–22, 2006.
- [Ste07] M. Steffensen. Differential Systems in Finance and Life Insurance. In P. Tapio and B.S. Jensen, editors, *Stochastic Economic Dynamics*, pages 317–360. Copenhagen Business School Press, 2007.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ → 할 → ⊙ Q Q 43 / 43