



Risk measures and Capital allocation

Prepared by Zinoviy Landsman, Udi Makov and Tomer Shushi

Presented to the Actuaries Institute
ASTIN, AFIR/ERM and IACA Colloquia
23-27 August 2015
Sydney

*This paper has been prepared for the Actuaries Institute 2015 ASTIN, AFIR/ERM and IACA Colloquia.
The Institute's Council wishes it to be understood that opinions put forward herein are not necessarily those of the
Institute and the Council is not responsible for those opinions.*

© University of Haifa

The Institute will ensure that all reproductions of the paper acknowledge the author(s) and include the above copyright statement.

Institute of Actuaries of Australia

ABN 69 000 423 656

Level 2, 50 Carrington Street, Sydney NSW Australia 2000

† +61 (0) 2 9233 3466 † +61 (0) 2 9233 3446

e actuaries@actuaries.asn.au w www.actuaries.asn.au

General measure for optimal portfolio risk management

Zinoviy Landsman Udi Makov

Tomer Shushi

Department of Statistics, University of Haifa,
Mount Carmel, 31905, Haifa, Israel

April 2015

Abstract

In this paper we offer a novel generalized optimal portfolio selection measure which is a ratio of a function of a linear functional and a function of a quadratic functional. This new measure incorporates as special cases many important measures such as the mean variance, Sharpe, tail conditional expectation, tail mean variance, to mention a few. We provide an explicit solution to optimal portfolio selection based on this new measure and thus offer a solution to all the members of the family defined by the new measure discussed here.

Keywords: Function of quadratic functional; Fractional programming; Linear constraints; Mean-Variance model; Optimal portfolio selection; Optimization; Portfolio selection; Sharpe ratio; Tail conditional expectation; Tail mean variance

1 Introduction

The pioneering work of Markowitz (1952) (see Steinbach (2001) [6], and Elton and Gruber (1987) [1]) on optimal portfolio selection (OPS), introduced the classical mean variance (MV) OPS, which has been studied extensively. The MV measure is founded on the maximization of the mean variance risk measure

$$MV(R) := E(R) - \lambda Var(R), \quad (1)$$

where R is the portfolio return, $R = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{X}$. \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{X} are a $n \times 1$ vectors of weights and returns, respectively and $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = 1$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is vector of n ones. $E(R) = \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}$, where $\boldsymbol{\mu}^T = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$, is the vector of expected returns and $Var(R) = \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}$, where Σ is the $n \times n$ covariance matrix of \mathbf{X} .

Another key approach in the OPS theory is the maximization of the Sharpe ratio (see, for instance, Sharpe (1998) [5]), which was introduced in Sharpe (1966) [4], and has the form

$$S := \frac{E(R) - R_f}{\sqrt{Var(R)}}, \quad (2)$$

where R_f is the risk free rate.

Both the MV and the Sharpe measures incorporate, differently, the mean and variance of the return R . In this paper we offer a novel generalized measure, which allows flexible functional platforms for incorporating $E(R)$ and $Var(R)$:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}; t, p, s) = t \left(\frac{p(E(R))}{s(Var(R))} \right). \quad (3)$$

$t(x)$, $p(x)$ and $s(x)$ are differentiable functions, where $t(x)$ is strictly increasing, i.e., $t'(x) \neq 0$, $p(x)$ is a concave function and $s(x)$, defined on $[0, \infty)$, is positive on $(0, \infty)$ and meets the following condition (denoted by (C)):

(C) The function $v(x) = s(ax^2 + 2qx + r)$ is strongly convex on R for any numbers $a > 0$, q , and r such that $q^2 - ar < 0$.

The new generalized measure suggested here, includes, as special cases, key measures employed in risk management:

Special case 1: Mean Variance (MV) model. In this case $t(x) = \ln x$, $p(x) = e^{-x}$ and $s(x) = e^{-\lambda x}$, $\lambda > 0$, and the goal function (3) has the form

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}; \ln x, e^{-x}, e^{-\lambda x}) = -\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \lambda \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}, \quad (4)$$

Special case 2: Sharpe ratio (SR). For $t(x) = x$, $p(x) = x - R_f$ and $s(x) = \sqrt{x}$, (3) takes the form of the Sharpe ratio (2)

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}; x, x - R_f, \sqrt{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} - R_f}{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}}}. \quad (5)$$

Special case 3: Tail conditional expectation (TCE) (see Landsman (2008) [2]). In the case $t(x) = \ln x$, $p(x) = e^{-x}$ and $s(x) = e^{-\lambda\sqrt{x}}$, $\lambda > 0$, (3) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}; \ln x, e^{-x}, e^{-\lambda_q\sqrt{x}}) &= -\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \lambda_q \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}}, \\ \lambda_q &> 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where λ_q is a constant under quantile $q \in (0, 1)$.

Special case 4: Tail mean variance (TMV) (see Landsman (2010) [3]). In the case $t(x) = \ln x$, $p(x) = e^{-x}$ and $s(x) = e^{-\lambda_1\sqrt{x} - \lambda_2 x}$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$, (3) has the form

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}; \ln x, e^{-x}, e^{-\lambda_1\sqrt{x} - \lambda_2 x}) = -\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \lambda_1 \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}. \quad (7)$$

Special case 5: Coefficient of variation measure. For $t(x) = x$, $p(x) = x^{-1}$ and $s(x) = x^{-1/2}$, (3) takes the form

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}; x, x^{-1}, x^{-1/2}) = \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}}}{\mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}}. \quad (8)$$

The generalized measure we introduce is based on the maximization of (3) subject to the linear constraints

$$B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}, \quad \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{0}. \quad (9)$$

The motivation of such a system of linear constraints arises from models such as the MV one, where the linear constraint $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x} = 1$ consider the sum of the weights of the portfolio to be equal to one with positive and negative values that may describe long and short positions, respectively.

We show that \mathbf{x}^* , the solution of the maximization problem, is given by

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \Sigma^{-1} B^T (B \Sigma^{-1} B^T)^{-1} \mathbf{c} + w^* (\Delta^T Q^{-1}, -\Delta^T Q^{-1} D_{12})^T,$$

where w^* is obtained explicitly in terms of functions $t(x)$, $p(x)$ and $s(x)$ and matrices Σ , B , D , Q and vector Δ are given in [3]. We also outline the expression of \mathbf{x}^* for special cases.

References

- [1] Elton, E.J., and Gruber, M.J (1987). *Modern Portfolio Theory and Investment Analysis*. Wiley, New York.
- [2] Landsman, Z. (2008). Minimization of the root of a quadratic functional under a system of affine equality constraints with application to portfolio management. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2008, 220, 1-2, 739-748.
- [3] Landsman, Z. (2010). On the tail mean–variance optimal portfolio selection. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 46(3), 547-553.
- [4] Sharpe, W. F. (1966). Mutual fund performance. *The Journal of Business*, 39(1), 119-138.
- [5] Sharpe, W. F. (1998). The sharpe ratio. *Streetwise-The best of the Journal of Portfolio Management*, Princeton University Press Princeton, New Jersey, 169-185.
- [6] Steinbach, M.C. (2001). Markowitz Revisted: Mean-Variance Models in Financial Portfolio Analysis. *SIAM Review*, 43, 1, 31-85.